



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 9

Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP
4 Park Plaza
Suite 1600
Irvine, CA 92614-2558

COPY MAILED

JUL 2 5 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:
R. W. Besoyan	:
Application No. 10/081,471	: DECISION GRANTING PETITION
Filed: February 21, 2002	: UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)
Attorney Docket No. 13364.4001	:

This is a decision on the petition, filed July 2, 2003, under 37 CFR 1.137(f), which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the instant nonprovisional application.

The petition is GRANTED.

Petitioner states that the instant nonprovisional application is the subject of an application filed in a foreign country on February 17, 2003. However, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office was unintentionally not notified of this filing within 45 days subsequent to the filing of the subject application in a foreign country.

In view of the above, this application became abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multilateral international agreement, that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing.

A petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of an application in a foreign country must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reply which is met by the notification of such filing in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty;
 - (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);
- and

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date of the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

The instant petition has been found in compliance with 37 CFR 1.137(f). However, while the statement of unintentional delay does not comply with the rule, the statement presented will be construed as meaning that "the entire delay in filing the required reply [notification of foreign filing under 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii)] until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional." Accordingly, the failure to timely notify the Office of a foreign or international filing within 45 days as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

The previous Request and Certification under 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(i) has been rescinded. A Notice Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication Request which sets forth the projected publication date of October 30, 2003 accompanies this decision on petition.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to Latrice Bond at (703) 308-6911.

There is no indication that a reply to the non-final Office action of March 28, 2003 has been filed. Accordingly, a shortened statutory period of three (3) months from the reply to the non-final Office action of March 28, 2003 is restarted with the mailing date of this decision. Extensions of time pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. Failure to timely reply within the period restarted by this decision will result in the abandonment of this application.

This application is being forwarded to Technology Center Art Unit 3761 to await a reply to the non-final Office action, the period of which is restarted to run from the mailing date of this decision on petition as noted above.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to Latrice Bond at (703) 308-6911.



Latrice Bond
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy



Frances Hicks
Lead Petitions Examiner

ATTACHMENT: Notice Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication Request